The Expert's Dilemma

H. Joel Jeffrey, Ph.D Society for Descriptive Psychology Annual Conference October 18, 2013

"What are the chances?"

- "...that I'll make it?"
 - That I'll recover from cancer?
 - What if I don't take this drug you've recommended?
- "...that this person will harm himself or others?"
- "...that this person will re-offend?"
- "...that this earthen dam will fail?"
- "...the Challenger will fail disastrously?"

Sounds like probability!

- What are the chances that
 - ... I'll roll a 7?
 - …I'll win the lottery?
 - ...a man born in 1947 will live to age 100?

350 year tradition

- Two kinds of probability: objective and subjective
- Versions of the same one thing:
 - -Prob(7) = 16%
 - Prob(win lottery) = 1/175,000,000
 - Prob(1947 reach age 100) = 7.1%
 - Prob(my recovery) = 75%
 - Prob(harm self) = 10%
 - Prob(Challenger disaster) = 1/100,000

Same language; same concept?

- Objective:
 - Rolling a 7: 36 combinations, 6 of them right
 - Winning the lottery: 175,000,000 tickets sold, you have one
- Subjective
 - Prob(MY recovery) (not "% of a group")
 - Prob(THIS person will harm himself) (not "% of cases like this")

Clearly not the same

- "Objective":
 - Counting cases
 - Out of this many cases, how many are the ones I want?
 - Concept: Ratio of two numbers
- "Subjective":
 - Degree of belief
 - Strength of feeling
 - How much you'd be willing to bet

Concept: What actions called for (and how strongly)

What is "subjective probability"?

- Let's look at the context?
 - What is the person doing by asking, "What are my chances?"
- Ans: deciding what to do
 - Do I get chemo?
 - Do I get this operation?
 - Do I recommend hospitalization for this person?
 - Do I recommend release of this person?
- Deliberate action when *something* is uncertain

So what can be uncertain?

• Recall:



So what can be uncertain?

• Recall:



Re-descriptions

Pragmatic assurance of success

 Paradigm case: P has pragmatic assurance of success doing B

- I want a sip of coffee; I lift the cup, tilt it, take a sip

 Engaging in B results in the intended state of affairs and no other behavior is called for to ensure that W = A.

Paradigm – but not universal

- But many things are uncertain
 - Will taking this drug cure me?
 - Will releasing this person result in harm to himself or others?
- "X is uncertain" = "Acting on X has no pragmatic assurance of success."
- Notice: "X is uncertain" is a re-description of X with tautological implications for action
- ➢ i.e., an *appraisal*

"What are the chances..."

- "...that I'll make it?"
- "...that this person will harm himself or others?"
- "...that this person will re-offend?"
- "...that this earthen dam will fail?"
- "...that the Challenger will fail disastrously?"

Are requests for uncertainty appraisals

What kind of appraisal?

- C is dangerous: a prudential appraisal
 - That is, re-describes a C as a prudential reason to avoid X, or take precautions
- C is beautiful: an esthetic appraisal
 - Reason to seek out C, look at C, etc.
- C is uncertain: an *uncertainty* appraisal
 - Reason to engage in certain behaviors

What behaviors?

- The things one does differently when one is unsure:
 - Non-standard performance (more slowly, more carefully, etc.)
 - Re-assessing C's, R's, or w's, or any parameter of any B
 - Pausing to re-assess the version of the B (or doing B at all)
 - Taking steps to avoid going wrong

Making decisions – simple version

- Give each possible outcome a probability between 0 and 1
- Assign a numerical value to each outcome
- Multiply the probability and the value of each outcome ("expected value")
- Add up the expected values
 - Example: Play lottery?
 - 100% chance of paying \$1: expected value = -\$1
 - 1/175,000,000 of winning \$1m: expected winnings = 57¢
 - Net expected value: 43¢

Great for combining probabilities – BUT!

- If some of the "probabilities" are subjective:
- Neat, clean, simple and *wrong*
 - The subjective probabilities don't add up to 1!
 - So the calculations are meaningless
- The problem: appraisals are not numerical

A lesson in conflation

- Feynman's analysis of the Challenger disaster:
 - Shuttle mgr estimate of failure probability: 1 in 100,000
 - Means: 1 Shuttle/day for 300 years, losing only one

Completely implausible

- Stems from treating appraisals as probabilities
- (Category error: "This lion's danger level is 3 pounds")

What to do instead: Life State Analysis

- **Step 1**: build an event (outcome) set
 - But, *complete* outcomes
 - Everything unique to the individual
 - Everything that person is uncertain about (formerly "subjective probabilities")
- **Step 2**: apply actual probabilities to the complete outcomes

- Specify the behaviors to choose between, <u>including</u> <u>the actor</u>
- 2. Identify the actually possible outcomes of each choice (A parameter)
- 3. Expand the the descriptions of each behavior
- Associate the (actual) probabilities with each Complete Situation.
- 5. Decide.

Example: Do I undergo chemo?

- 1. Behavioral choices: Get chemo or refuse
- 2. Actually possible outcomes:
 - 1. Undergo chemo and survive
 - 2. Undergo chemo and live 6 months longer
 - 3. Undergo chemo and die within 2 years
 - 4. Forgo chemo and die within 2 years.
 - 5. Forgo chemo and recover
- 3. Expansion of undergo chemo & survive (LS1):
 - Months of very unpleasant sickness, very low life quality and inability to carry out normal duties in my family and work;
 - I'm affirming my self-image as a fighter
 - My spouse sees I did all I possibly could
 - My spouse will see me suffering during the treatment
 - I'll be able to finish the research project I'm working on, which means a lot to me
 - I get to attend my daughter's wedding in 10 months
 - I may see grandchildren.

Do I undergo chemo?

- Expansion of undergo chemo and live 6 months (LS2):
 - Months of very unpleasant sickness, very low life quality and inability to carry out normal duties in my family and work;
 - I'm affirming my self-image as a fighter
 - My spouse sees I did all I possibly could
 - My spouse will see me suffering during the treatment
 - I'll be able to finish the research project I'm working on, which means a lot to me
- Expansion of undergo chemo and die within 2 years (LS3):
 - Months of very unpleasant sickness, very low life quality and inability to carry out normal duties in my family and work;
 - I'm affirming my self-image as a fighter
 - My spouse sees I did all I possibly could
 - My spouse will see me suffering during the treatment

Do I undergo chemo?

- Expansion of forego chemo and die 2 years (LS4):
 - A 2-year decline
 - Much better time with my family during the two years
 - I'll be able to finish a research project that is important to me
 - I'll have time to make peace with my passing
 - I'm doing something that conflicts with my image of myself as a fighter
 - My spouse will not see me suffering until the end
 - I'll be able to finish the research project I'm working on, which means a lot to me
 - I get to attend my daughter's wedding in 10 months.
- Expansion of forego chemo and die in 2 years (LS5):
 - A 2-year decline
 - Much better time with my family during the two years
 - I'll be able to finish a research project that is important to me
 - I'll have time to make peace with my passing
 - I'm doing something that conflicts with my image of myself as a fighter
 - My spouse will not see me suffering until the end
 - I'll be able to finish the research project I'm working on, which means a lot to me
 - I get to attend my daughter's wedding in 10 months.

Example: Do I undergo chemo?

- 4. Apply known statistics for survival rates for this cancer and this treatment regime to these Life States:
 - prob(LS1) = 0.60
 - prob(LS2) = 0.30
 - prob(LS3) = 0.10
 - prob(LS4) = 0.98
 - prob(LS5) = 0.02
- 5. Decide